

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/608,083	Applicant(s) BRUNO ET AL.	
	Examiner Srirama Channavajjala	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowance

 (1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) _____.

 (2) Sung T Kim, Reg. No. 45,398.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2007
Time: 6-05 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

office action mailed on 1/10/2007

Claims discussed:

1,3,5,12-14,16-17,20-21,23-27,30-32,34-36,38-46,51,54-61 and claims 11,33


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Sung T Kim, Reg. No. 45,398 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,3,5,12-14,16-17,20-21,23-27,30-32,34-36,38-46,51,54-61, and cancell claims 11,33 in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment. .